IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
)	
Plaint	iff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(PJC)
)	
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defen	dants.)	

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S RESPONSE TO " DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION FOR USE OF A JURY QUESTIONNAIRE AND INTEGRATED BRIEF IN SUPPORT" (DKT. #2446)

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), respectfully responds to "Defendants' Joint Motion for Use of a Jury Questionnaire and Integrated Brief In Support" (Dkt. #2446) ("Defendants' Motion").

The State agrees with Defendants that a juror questionnaire would be helpful in this case. The State has reviewed Defendants' proposed juror questionnaire and has created a revised version of that questionnaire. The State's revisions make the questionnaire more neutral and concise and omit questions that are unnecessarily invasive. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a version of Defendants' proposed questionnaire with the State's additions, deletions, and other edits noted in "blacklined" format on the document. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a "clean" version of Defendants' proposed questionnaire with the State's changes incorporated into the document.

The State is in agreement with much of Defendants' proposed questionnaire. The State has made numerous minor edits to the formatting and word choice in Defendants' proposed jury questionnaire in order to make the language as clear and neutral as possible, while eliciting information valuable to both parties.

The State has also made more substantive changes to Defendants' proposed questionnaire. Substantive changes the State has made to Defendants' proposed questionnaire include the following:

- 1) The State has added information explaining the purpose of the questionnaire to potential jurors at the beginning of the questionnaire. See Ex. 1, p. 1.
- 2) Defendants' questions Nos. 12 and 43 have been reformatted to facilitate responses from potential jurors while maximizing the amount of information gathered. *See* Ex. 1, pp. 2, 7.
- 3) The State removed Defendants' question No. 19 (see Dkt. #2446-2, p. 4) that asks if potential jurors know any judges or lawyers. This question is overly broad and not helpful to the parties or the Court. It is anticipated that the Court's voir dire will elicit any necessary responses regarding potential jurors' connections or relationships with the parties, their law firms, and their lawyers.
- 4) The State removed Defendants' question No. 24 (see Dkt. #2446-2, p. 6) in which Defendants propose that potential jurors identify their political party affiliation.

 The State believes this is an inappropriate question that is invasive to the privacy of the potential jurors and that is not helpful to determine qualified jurors.
- 5) The State removed Defendants' questions Nos. 27 and 28 (*see* Dkt. #2446-2, p. 7) because the questionnaire already contains questions about potential jurors' feelings about lawsuits and these questions become repetitive and unnecessary.
- 6) The State removed Defendants' question No. 30 (see Dkt. #2446-2, p. 7) that inquires whether potential jurors eat or encourage others to eat organic foods.

- The consumption of any food, regardless of whether it is organic, is not an issue in this case and is not helpful to determine qualified jurors.
- 7) The State abbreviated Defendants' question No. 47, removing the portion of the question regarding jurors' opinions on the water quality in the IRW compared to other parts of the country. This portion of the question is argumentative, and it improperly asks jurors to make a comparison and judgment about the water quality in the IRW. See Ex. 1, p. 7.
- 8) The State removed Defendants' question No. 64 regarding long-term or permanent disabilities in potential jurors or people close to them. (See Dkt. #2446-2, p. 15.) This is not a relevant inquiry in this case.
- 9) The State removed question No. 56 (see Dkt. #2446-2, p. 15) regarding "Drew Edmondson" because this case is being brought by the State of Oklahoma, and it is not appropriate to have a question pertaining to one particular lawyer while asking no questions about other lawyers in this case.
- 10) The State altered question No. 38 to make it more neutral, including questions about both environmental groups and agricultural / farming groups. See Ex. 1, p.6.
- 11) The State has added questions Nos. 49 and 50 to ensure potential jurors are not predisposed towards any particular party. See Ex. 1, p. 8.
- 12) The State has added question No. 47 regarding the Cherokee Nation and other Native American Nations in light of this Court's recent order regarding the Cherokee Nation and the press coverage of that issue. See Ex. 1, p. 8.

13) The State has added question No. 41 regarding potential jurors' conversations about the IRW to determine whether any potential jurors participated in the State's contingent valuation survey or focus groups, or any studies conducted by Defendants. See Ex. 1, p. 7.

Upon Defendants' review of this counter-proposal to their questionnaire, counsel for the State are ready and willing to meet and confer with Defendants in hopes of reaching an agreed-upon juror questionnaire.

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

/s/ Richard T. Garren

M. David Riggs OBA #7583 Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371 Richard T. Garren OBA #3253 Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010 Robert A. Nance OBA #6581 D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641 David P. Page OBA #6852 RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, **ORBISON & LEWIS** 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, OK 74119 (918) 587-3161

Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 **Tulsa OK 74119**

918) 584-2001

Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465
(843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted pro hac vice)
Ingrid L. Moll (admitted pro hac vice)
Mathew P. Jasinski (admitted pro hac vice)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of August, 2009, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us
Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General	kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us
M. David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com

Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com		
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com		
Robert A. Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com		
D. Sharon Gentry	sgentry@riggsabney.com		
David P. Page	dpage@riggsabney.com		
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS			
Louis Werner Bullock	lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com		
Robert M. Blakemore	bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com		
BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE			
Frederick C. Baker	fbaker@motleyrice.com		
Elizabeth Claire Xidis	cxidis@motleyrice.com		
William H. Narwold	bnarwold@motleyrice.com		
Ingrid L. Moll	imoll@motleyrice.com		
Mathew P. Jasinski	mjasinski@motleyrice.com		
Jonathan D. Orent	jorent@motleyrice.com		
Michael G. Rousseau	mrousseau@motleyrice.com		
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick	ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com		
MOTLEY RICE, LLC			
Counsel for State of Oklahoma			
William D. Perrine	wperrine@pmrlaw.net		
Robert P. Redemann	rredemann@pmrlaw.net		
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BARRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.			
David C. Senger	david@cgmlawok.com		
Robert E Sanders	rsanders@youngwilliams.com		
Edwin Stephen Williams	steve.williams@youngwilliams.com		
YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.			
Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.			
	2.1.01.11		
John H. Tucker	jtucker@rhodesokla.com		
Theresa Noble Hill	thill@rhodesokla.com		
Colin Hampton Tucker	ctucker@rhodesokla.com		
Kerry R. Lewis	klewis@rhodesokla.com		
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & C	jABLE		
T	4		
Terry Wayen West THE WEST LAW FIRM	terry@thewestlawfirm.com		
INE WEST LAW FIRM	-		
Delmar R. Ehrich	dahriah@faaara aan		
Dennai R. Ehrich	dehrich@faegre.com		

Also on this 28th day of August, 2009 I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

Thomas C Green -- via email: tcgreen@sidley.com Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP

Dustin McDaniel Justin AllenOffice of the Attorney General (Little Rock)
323 Center St, Ste 200
Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

Steven B. Randall 58185 County Rd 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman -- via email: csilverman@shb.com Victor E Schwartz Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC)

/s/ Richard T. Garren
Richard T. Garren